Public



2020 Questionnaire for the April 2020 Insurance Capital Standard (ICS) Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project

("the ICS Questionnaire")

The ICS Questionnaire has been developed as a supporting document for groups participating in the 2020 ICS Data Collection Exercise and must be read in conjunction with the associated ICS Technical Specifications, ICS data collection Template and Yield Curve documentation. All documents are provided for information only.

These documents do not replace or supersede the ICS Level 1 and Level 2 documents, which have been agreed and published by the IAIS.



Contents

1	I	Introduction	3		
	1.1	Preamble	3		
	1.2	2 Confidentiality	3		
	1.3	3 Instructions	3		
2	l	Identification	4		
3	C	General Questions	5		
4	F	Perimeter of the ICS Calculation	7		
5	Ν	Market-adjusted valuation	9		
6	C	Capital Requirement1	1		
	6.1	Self-assessment template for natural catastrophe models1	1		
	6.2	2 Non-insurance risk charges 1	5		
7	A	Additional Reporting1	6		
	7.1	GAAP Plus1	6		
	7	7.1.1 Reconcilations	30		
	7.2	2 Internal Models	35		
8	S	Supplementary Reporting3	39		
	8.1	Commercial Mortgages	39		
9	S	Self-assessment Template for Internal Models4	2		
	Prerequisite 1: Description of the scope of application of internal models				
	Pre	erequisite 2: Validation4	4		
	Pre	erequisite 3: Sign-off of the Board of Directors of the IAIG4	6		
	Pre	erequisite 4: Statistical quality test4	6		
	Pre	erequisite 5: Calibration test4	19		
	Pre	erequisite 6: Use test and governance5	51		
	Pre	erequisite 7: Documentation standards5	53		
	Pre	erequisite 8: Absence of cherry-picking (for partial models)5	54		
		erequisite 9: The resulting ICS capital requirement more appropriately reflects the risk profil the insurer (for partial models)5			
		erequisite 10: Explain how the partial internal model and standard method's results can be egrated (for partial models)5	55		



1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

This Questionnaire focuses on supplementary information in relation to data provided in the Template for the 2020 ICS Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project, and is due **31 October 2020**.

Defined terms in this document shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Guidelines unless otherwise defined herein.

1.2 Confidentiality

This Questionnaire forms part of the documentation for the Monitoring Period Project. Please refer to the Introduction and Purpose and the Applicable Technical Standards in the 2020 ICS Data Collection Technical Specifications, as well as the Monitoring Period Guidelines, for information in relation to confidentiality of this document and the information submitted by Volunteer Groups using this document. For avoidance of doubt, responses to this Questionnaire that are received in line with the processes outlined in the 2020 ICS Data Collection Technical Specifications will benefit from the same level of confidentiality as the information submitted via the Template for the 2020 ICS Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project.

1.3 Instructions

Please:

- Provide your answers ONLY in the spaces in the table provided for responses to each question.
- **DO NOT** alter the structure of this document (e.g. do not add additional rows or boxes for your answers, unless they are within the space already provided).
- DO NOT enter any information in blank spaces between questions or sections. The structure provided in this document is used to collate responses across Volunteer Groups. Any information entered outside this structure may result in that information being discarded during collation of the responses.

If this results in any problems with providing a response to any question in this Questionnaire, please email <u>IAIS_ICS_Confidential_Reporting@bis.org</u> with details of the problem.

Please refer to the 2020 ICS Data Collection Technical Specifications for instructions on submitting this Questionnaire. In particular, please adhere to the deadlines and the file naming convention provided therein.



2 Identification

1	Please provide the name of your IAIG/Volunteer Group below:		
	IAIG / Volunteer G	roup name	
	Insert text		
		late of submission of this questionnaire (dd/mm/yyyy). If an earlier lestionnaire has been updated please indicate a new date here:	
	Date of this submi	ssion	
	Insert text		
3		ame of the contact persons for queries about the responses to this ing email address and telephone number.	
	Primary Contact	Information	
	Name:	Insert text	
Email: Insert text		Insert text	
	Phone:	Insert text	
	Backup Contact	Information	
Name: Insert text		Insert text	
	Email:	Insert text	
	Phone:	Insert text	



3 General Questions

Template sheet(s) referred to in this section:	Various
Key Technical Specification section(s) relevant to this section	Various

4	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on the reference ICS? Examples of assumptions or simplifications follow the questions.			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, complete the following question for each material assumption or simplification used. Please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact. In the description, also indicate whether you anticipate future reliance on the assumption or simplification or was it due to circumstances such as a resource constraint.			
	Item name	Reference	Description	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	 (Add additional rows as necessary) Examples where assumptions or simplifications may have been used: 8.2 Deferred tax from the ICS Adjustments – Was difficulty encountered being able to offset deferred taxes arising from the ICS Adjustment where an assumption or simplification was used? 			
5	Were any material changes made in reporting data for sections that have remained unchanged between 2019 Field Testing and the Monitoring Period (eg simplifications that were used during Field Testing that are no longer being used for the Monitoring Period)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			



	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as I	necessary)		
6	Do you have further comments regarding ICS Version 2.0 for the monitoring period not specifically addressed elsewhere in the Questionnaire?			
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO	ot Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to analysis.			
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name Reference Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



4 Perimeter of the ICS Calculation

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	4. Reference ICS: Perimeter of the ICS Calculation

7	For the starting ICS balance sheet, did you encounter any difficulties splitting the insurance and non-insurance operations?			
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide a description of issues that were encountered.				
	Amount	Description		
	Insert Insert text text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
8	For the non-insurance portion of the ICS balance sheet, did you encounter any difficulties re-stating consolidated entities in your consolidated GAAP to a different basis of accounting (eg, joint ventures and joint operations)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please provide a description of issues that were encountered.			
	Amount Description			
	Insert Insert text text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
9	Has an amount been reported in the worksheet GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets, table Balance sheets, Row (-) adjustments already included in other equity items?			



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please provide a description of what was included in the amount in sufficient detail to understand why the offset was necessary.			
	Amount	Descripti	ion	
	Insert insert text			
	(Add additio	nal rows a	as necessary)	
10	Has an amount been reported in the <i>Other</i> line in the <i>Equity</i> section of the worksheet <i>GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets</i> ?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please provide additional information on the amount so that the IAIS can determine whether that amount should be included within ICS capital resources.			
	Amount Description			
	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



5 Market-adjusted valuation

MP Template Sheets referred to in this section:	GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets
Key MP Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	5. Reference ICS: Market- Adjusted Valuation

11	Are the Middle Bucket criteria appropriate?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, please describe in detail how and why the criteria should be changed. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality an direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
12	Were either of the possible proxies for non-life premium liabilities applied?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please indicate which proxy was used and provide details on the difficulties faced in the calculation of non-life premium liabilities. Provide details on alternative proxies (including formulas) which the IAIS could consider (references/ links to external sources are also welcome).		
	Item name Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
13	Was the IAIS base yield curve methodology applied to any additional currency beyond the 35 for which the IAIS published data?			
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe any difficulties experienced with the process. Please describe in summary.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



6 Capital Requirement

6.1 Self-assessment template for natural catastrophe models

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	N/A
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	7.2.4.7 Safeguards for Natural Catastrophe Models

Safeguard 1 – Description of the scope of application		
The IAIG describes the pe	rimeter of the natural catastrophe model's calculation.	
Is Safeguard 1 met?		
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
YES NO Not Applicable		
If YES, please describe the	e scope of application of the natural catastrophe model.	
Item name	Description and rationale	
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
Do you have any natural catastrophe models that were developed internally?		
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
YES	NO Not Applicable	
If YES, please describe the scope of application of each CAT model.		
Item name	Description and rationale	
Insert text	Insert text	
	The IAIG describes the period Is Safeguard 1 met? Provide your response bing YES If YES, please describe the Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as need) Do you have any natural of Provide your response bing YES If YES, please describe the Item name Insert text Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)	
16	Safeguard 2 – Validation		
	The IAIG demonstrates that a rigorous process is in place by which they can establish whether their natural catastrophe model framework is sound or whether improvements are needed. Is Safeguard 2 met?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, Please describe th	e validation process for the CAT model.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
17	Safeguard 3 – Sign-off by senior management		
	_	of the IAIG has ownership of the natural catastrophe model, and the model on process prescribed by the natural catastrophe model governance	
	Is Safeguard 3 met?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If NO, please explain whet	ther a change is planned and the expected timeline.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
18	Safeguard 4 – Statistical quality test				
	 The statistical quality test addresses issues related to the following technical aspects of the natural catastrophe model: methodology and assumptions; coverage of material risks; data (including external data) and expert judgment; aggregation of risks and diversification effects; consistency with the method used for the calculation of technical provisions; allowance for risk mitigation techniques and future management actions; and financial guarantees and contractual options. 				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe in summary how statistical quality tests are used in the natural catastrophe model.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
19	Safeguard 5 – Use test and governance				
	The use test reflects the IAIG's view of its risks and is used in decision-making.				
	Is Safeguard 5 met?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable			



	If YES, please describe, in summary, how the use test is carried out, as well the model governance and internal controls at different stages of the model.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)			
20	Safeguard 6 – Documenta	ation standards			
	 The documentation of the natural catastrophe model includes its use and other related aspects, such as: facilitates the supervisory review of the model; facilitates Senior Management's understanding; and recognises the weaknesses of the model. 				
	Is Safeguard 6 met?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe, ir	n summary, the documentation that is currently available.			
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
21	Safeguard 7 – List of catastrophe risk sources that are not modelled				
	The IAIG recognises the limitations in the scope of their natural catastrophe model. IAIGs make a list of natural catastrophe risks specified in the ICS but not modelled, and explain why those risks are not modelled.				
	Is Safeguard 7 met?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			



	NO Not Applicable
-	e natural catastrophe risks that are not modelled, the reason those r ssessment of the impact.
Item name	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text
Add additional rows	

6.2 Non-insurance risk charges

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Non-Insurance & Baseline
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	7.7 Non-Insurance Risk Charges

22	For the non-insurance entities reported as equity method investments (eg, joint ventures and joint operations) that are consolidated under GAAP, were there any reporting issues?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe the issue that you encountered.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



7 Additional Reporting

7.1 GAAP Plus

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Summary.GAAP+
	ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+
	Financial Instruments.GAAP+
	Tier 2 Non-Paid-Up
	ICS Insurance Risk Charges.G+
	ICS Non-Insurance & Baseline
	ICS Other Methods.GAAP+
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.1 GAAP Plus

23	Has an amount been reported in the worksheet ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+, table Balance sheets, Row (-) adjustments already included in other equity items?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO If YES, please provide a description of what was included in the amount in sufficient detail to understand why the offset was necessary.		
	Amount Insert text	Description Insert text	
24	(Add additional rows as necessary) Has an amount been reported in the Other line in the Equity section of the worksheet ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO NO Not Applicable		



	If YES, please provide additional information on the amount so that the IAIS can determine whether that amount should be included within ICS capital resources.				
	determine whether that amount should be included within 100 capital resources.				
	Amount	D	escription		
	Insert text				
	(Add additional r	ows as i	necessary)		
25		ents (GA	AP) and GAAP	Plus	tween reported general purpose audited in <i>ICS Balance Sheet</i> .GAAP+ (table from GAAP)?
	Provide your re	sponse	by placing an 'x	' in t	he relevant cell:
	YES		NO		Not Applicable
		L	1		
	If YES, please de Plus.	escribe t	he reclassificat	ions	made between reported GAAP and GAAP
	Reclassifications may include, for example, any amounts that are adjusted due to changes in balance sheet presentation, netting or grossing of balances, differences in the scope of the group, or application of different consolidation methods or rules.				
	-	ice shee	et presentation,	netti	ng or grossing of balances, differences in
	-	ice shee	et presentation,	netti diffe	ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
	the scope of the	ice shee	et presentation, or application of	netti diffe	ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
	the scope of the	ice shee	et presentation, or application of Description and	netti diffe	ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
	the scope of the	ice shee	et presentation, or application of Description and	netti diffe	ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
	the scope of the	group, c	et presentation, or application of Description and Insert text	netti diffe	ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
26	the scope of the Item name Insert text (Add additional re Did you conclude	ows as i	et presentation, or application of Description and Insert text <i>necessary)</i> e reference ICS	netti diffe d rati	ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
26	the scope of the Item name Insert text (Add additional re Did you conclude hence you did no adjustments)?	ows as i	et presentation, or application of Description and Insert text <i>necessary)</i> e reference ICS re a separate G	netti diffe d rati	onale GAAP Plus are materially the same (and



	If YES, please describe the analyses performed to determine that the balances under GAAP Plus did not materially differ from the reference ICS, and specify the key factors in support of that determination. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
27	Were any GAAP Plus spectrum calculating the GAAP Plus	cifications not followed or simplifying assumptions made in Balance Sheet?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe, for each GAAP Plus specification not followed and each simplifying assumption made, the impact of using such alternative treatment (from the specifications, or simplification) particularly if this may not be justifiable using the proportionality principle. Please provide your rationale for the approach taken. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
28	U.S. GAAP Plus – Were there any difficulties encountered when applying US GAAP Plus?			



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact. Please list in order of difficulty.			
	Item Reference Response			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
29	IFRS GAAP Plus - Wer	e balances reported under the IFRS GAAP Plus approach?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	 If NO: 1. Explain what prevented you from reporting the data in 2020. 2. Would these issues or limitations be resolved before 2021 Field Testing of GAAP Plus? 3. Are there any simplifying assumptions or practical expedients that could be applied in future Field Testing that would address these issues or limitations? 			
	Question Reference	Response		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



30	IFRS GAAP Plus – If you are an IFRS reporter (regardless of whether you reported under IFRS GAAP Plus for 2020 Field Testing), please review the technical specifications presenting the IFRS GAAP Plus approach.		
		culties that you could encounter if you were to apply this RS GAAP Plus balance sheet?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide details of any anticipated difficulties in applying this approach and any solutions that you can propose.		
	Difficulty identified	Explanation and proposed solution	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
31		e any simplifying assumptions or practical expedients applied in sunder IFRS GAAP Plus for Field Testing?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	 If YES: 1. Please describe the method used to estimate balances in accordance with IFRS 17. 2. Please disclose any other information that would assist in the analysis of such figures. 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		



	2.		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
32	-	of solvency reporting) – Were figures from solvency reporting IFRS 17 figures for the purpose of GAAP Plus reporting?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	treatment (from the proportionality princiPlease summarise, b direction of impact, yPlease provide deta	r each adaptation made, the impact of using such alternative specifications) particularly if this may not be justifiable using the ple. but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and your rationale for the approach taken. ils of any expected sources of material difference between IFRS orting that have not been adjusted.	
	Item Reference Response		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
		etails of any expected sources of material difference between eporting that have not been adjusted.	
33	significant differences in	Liabilities) – Were there, or do you expect there to be, the way that future cash flows are estimated between IFRS RS 17) and the reference ICS?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples may include: Contract Boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfillment cash flows 			
	Item Reference	Reference Response		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
34	IFRS GAAP Plus (Non-Life Liability for Remaining Coverage) – Were there, or do you expect there to be, significant differences in the way that future non-life liability for remaining coverage cash flows are estimated between IFRS GAAP Plus (applying IFRS 17) and the reference ICS?			
	Please indicate in your response whether (or not) you are using the premium allocation approach.			
	Provide your response YES	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and, if possible, a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples might include:			
	 Discounting Contract boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfillment cash flows 			
	Item Reference	Response		
	Insert text	Insert text		



	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
35	IFRS GAAP Plus (Non-Life Claims) – Were there, or do you expect there to be, significant differences in the way future non-life claim cash flows are estimated between IFRS GAAP Plus (applying IFRS17) and the reference ICS?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the YES NO	the relevant cell:	
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples might include: Discounting Contract boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfillment cash flows 		
	Item Reference Response		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)	<u> </u>	
36	IFRS GAAP Plus – Did you develop or are you developing a methodology for calculating a discount rate or curve for purposes of implementing IFRS GAAP Plus? Does this differ from your currently expected approach under IFRS17?		



 Did will Did rate Did rate If to retu or n (e.g exp If bo liqu or n S. Wh Did How The sthe con 	ase describe you, or will y be applied a you, or will y curve? op-down, des un implicit in nay be detern you be detern ottom-up, des idity premium nay be, consi at was consic you, or do you w is that rate en does it be scribe the teo last liquid po istruction diffe scribe any oth	you, use mu nd how they rou, apply a t cribe how the the fair value nined, as we r may be use t were or ma scribe relevan tructed. dered to be t ou intend to, r determined? gin to apply? chnique that int and the ul er by currence	Itiple curve might diffe cop-down of e yield curve e measure Il as other ed as the re y be calcu nt conside y be calcu he observe use a spot was, or w ltimate rate
 will 2. Did rate 3. If to retuon or non (e.go) 4. If boost b	be applied an you, or will y e/curve? op-down, desurn implicit in nay be detern g. what was o bected default ottom-up, des idity premium nay be, const at was consid you, or do you w is that rate en does it be scribe the teo last liquid poi astruction diffe scribe any oth	nd how they rou, apply a t cribe how the the fair value nined, as we r may be use t were or may scribe relevan twere or may tructed. dered to be t ou intend to, to determined? gin to apply? chnique that int and the ul er by currence	might diffe op-down of e yield cur e measure Il as other ed as the re y be calcu nt conside y be calcu he observa use a spot was, or w ltimate rate
Insert text	Reference	Response	
		Insert text	
1.			
2.			
(Add additional rows as necessary) If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the timeline for concluding on a discounting methodology			



37	IFRS GAAP Plus – Have you developed, or are you developing a methodology for calculating a risk adjustment for purposes of implementing IFRS 17?			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, please describe your methodology including:1. What method was or may be used (e.g. VaR, TVaR, Cost of Capital) and what is th rationale for choosing this method?			
	period? 3. What risks were or n 4. What level of aggreg 5. How was or will reins	What time period was or may be used and what is the rationale for choosing that time period? What risks were or may be captured in your non-financial risks? What level of aggregation was or may be used? How was or will reinsurance be applied or addressed?		
	 How did or will you of what was the level? How did or would th ICS? 	d the risk adjustment differ from the MOCE defined in the reference		
	Approach, describe 10. If applicable, how do	 For those products for which you used, or intend to use, the Premium Allocation Approach, describe how/if the risk adjustment would be calculated. If applicable, how does or might the risk adjustment differ from the risk margin in the regime in your jurisdiction (eg Solvency II)? 		
	Question Reference Response			
	Insert text Insert text			
	1.			
	2.			
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)		
38	IFRS GAAP Plus – Have you developed a policy for determining portfolio allocation for purposes of implementing IFRS 17?			
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	 If YES, Please describe this methodology including: 1. Is or will the portfolio allocation under IFRS 17 be more or less granular than the ICS segments? Please describe any material differences. 			



		io allocation under IFRS 17 be different from what you have jurisdiction's solvency regime? Please describe.	
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	
	If NO, please provide a discussion of what you are currently considering and the timeline for concluding on assigning/defining portfolios.		
39	IFRS GAAP Plus – Did (PAA) to any products?	you or do you intend to apply the Premium Allocation Approach	
	If you intend to apply the	PAA to any life products, please indicate this separately.	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please indicate w on the GAAP Plus Balan	hat portion of liabilities were reported on an undiscounted basis ce Sheet.	
	Item name	Reported amount	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)	
40	IFRS GAAP Plus – Did period greater than one	you or will you apply the PAA to any contracts with a coverage year?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe	the products and the rationale for applying the PAA.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
41	IFRS GAAP Plus – Have and IFRS 9?	e you developed a timeline for the implementation of IFRS 17	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES:		
	1. If you have not submitted an IFRS GAAP Plus balance sheet for Field Testing, do that timeline allow for preparation of a balance sheet reflecting each of IFRS 17 a IFRS 9, perhaps with some simplifying assumptions, for the Field Testing of GAA Plus in 2021?		
	 Can you provide any additional commentary that would explain possible limitations to providing a balance sheet reflecting IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 for 2021 Field Testing? 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
		e issues that would prevent you from submitting a balance sheet g rules for 2021 Field Testing.	



42	China GAAP Plus – Dic approach?	you have any difficulties in applying the China GAAP Plus	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES: 1. Can you explain the 2. Do you have any su technical specification	uggestions that would help to resolve the difficulty or clarify the	
	Question Reference Response		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
43	AOCI adjustment- Did you have any difficulties in applying the AOCI adjustment?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	 If YES, can you explain the reason for the difficulty? Do you have any suggestions that would help to resolve the difficulty or clarify the technical specifications? 		
	Question Reference	Response	



	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)	
	If NO, please explain v	vhy this segregation was not performed.	
44	Do you have any sugg Technical Specification	ested improvements or refinements to the 2020 GAAP Plus	
	Provide your respons	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the improvement and the rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)	
45	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		



YES	NO	Not Applicable
Specifications or Te relevance to the Fie	emplate, and provide a eld Testing analysis. summary, but with sur	including a reference to the Technical a description as well as rationale for its fficient detail to allow an assessment of
materiality and pole	iniai inipaci.	
Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Item name Insert text	Reference Insert text	Description and rationale
		Description and rationale
		Description and rationale

7.1.1 Reconcilations

Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.1 GAAP Plus

46	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV includes two user- defined columns to report any material adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes to contract boundaries, cash flow projections and discounting. Were these columns utilised?		
	Provide your respon	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide a description for the adjustment amounts reported in each column.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	



-	1		
	(Add additional rows a		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
47	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV includes a column labelled <i>other</i> to report any additional adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes explicitly reported in previous columns. Was this column utilised?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide	e a description for the amounts reported.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows a	as necessary)	
48	Do you have further comments regarding this section?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the analysis.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text	
(Add additional rows as necessary)		

Reconciliations: Non-Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.1 GAAP Plus

49	Did you report any amount in the Other columns for the premium liability reconciliations?			
	Provide your re	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO	Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of <i>Other</i> in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality (Please express the amounts as a % of Total Assets) and direction of impact.			
	Table Item name Description and rationale			
	GAAP to MAV	Insert text	Insert text	
	GAAP to GAAP Plus			
	GAAP Plus to MAV			
	(Add additional i	rows as necessary)		
50	Do you have any	Do you have any other comments on the premium liability reconciliations?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that you provide which you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have			



	the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your resp	oonse by placing an 'x' ir	n the relevant cell: Not Applicable
	If YES, please specify the item or issue and discuss it and the rationale for its relevance to the analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Description and ra	tionale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional row	vs as necessary)	
51	Did you report any amounts in the Other columns for the claim liability reconciliation?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of <i>Other</i> in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality (Please express the amounts as a % of Total Assets) and direction of impact.		
	Table It	em name	Description and rationale
	GAAP to GAAP Plus	nsert text	Insert text
	GAAP Plus to MAV		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



1				
52	Do you have further of	comments regarding	this section?	
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).			
	Provide your respor	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.			
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			



7.2 Internal Models

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Other Methods
Key MP Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.2.1 Internal Models

The purpose of this section is to enable IAIGs to provide supplementary qualitative information (with respect to the quantitative data submission) to support the assessment of the appropriateness of the use of internal models for the reference ICS. This will facilitate a better understanding of:

- a. The reasons for differences in outcomes between the ICS standard method and risk charges determined by internal models at the same calibration level; and
- b. The nature and scope of application of internal models used by IAIGs

53	Do any of the risk charges calculated using a VaR 99.5% over a one-year time horizon (in 9.2 Other <i>methods</i>) differ materially from the equivalent ICS risk charges determined according to the ICS standard method?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please quantify and outline the key drivers of any material differences for each risk. Pleas also outline any material differences in your definition of each risk compared to that used by the IAIS.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
regulatory purposes and		Key drivers of differences between IAIG's reported capital amounts for regulatory purposes and ICS standard method risk charges. Please also include outline of any material differences in the definitions of risks.	
	Mortality risk	Insert text	
	Longevity risk		
	Morbidity/Disability risk		
	Lapse risk		
	Expense risk		



	Premium risk		
	Claims reserve risk		
	Catastrophe risk		
	Interest Rate risk		
	Non-default Spread risk		
	Equity risk		
	Real Estate risk		
	Currency risk		
	Asset Concentration risk		
	Credit risk		
	Operational risk		
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
54	Did you report other risks not captured by the ICS?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe those risks and the materiality of each risk if more than one risk is reported in that cell. Please provide the rationale for modelling these risks.		
	Risk name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	



55	Does the ICS standard method cover risks that are not included in your internal economic capital model(s)?						
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:						
	YES NO Not Applicable						
	If YES, please explain why those risks are not explicitly modelled, and how this is addressed.						
	Please describe in summa	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and					
	direction of impact.						
	Risk name	Description and rationale of why the risk is not modelled					
	Incort toxt	Incert text					
	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)					
56	For the actual internal model results where the risk measure and/or confidence interval differ from						
00	99.5% VaR over a one-year time horizon, please detail the reasons why a different target calibration						
	is used and outline any adjustments used when providing data at 99.5% VaR over a one-year time						
	horizon.						
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and						
	direction of impact.						
	Item where different	Reasons and adjustments when providing data using same calibration					
	from ICS calibration	as ICS					
	Insert text	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)					
57	Where you have indicated	the results come from a model whose use has been approved by a					
•	supervisory authority, please provide details and scope of the approval – has the approval been						
	granted by the group-wide supervisor, a single legal entity supervisor or a supervisory college?						



	Model approved	Details of the approval				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
58		ication benefits in worksheet 9.2 Other methods and demonstrate that it d to provide a short description of the dependency modelling.				
	Difference	Description of difference				
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)				
59	Considering the risk profile of your group, is there any area for which the ICS standard method does not appropriately capture the risk profile of your group and for which an internal economic capital modelling approach would be more appropriate?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe why an internal economic capital modelling approach would capture your risk profile more appropriately in the context of a consistent assessment of risk needed for the ICS.					
	Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



8 Supplementary Reporting

8.1 Commercial Mortgages

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	Commercial Mortgages
Key MP Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	10.5 Commercial Mortgages

With a view to potentially refine the ICS calibration of Credit risk factors for commercial mortgages, the IAIS is seeking to continue its collection of information on the commercial mortgage loan portfolios of IAIGs

r	
60	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	For average LTV, please clarify how LTV is measured (eg on the basis of LTV at underwriting or a recent property/collateral appraisal, etc.)
	Description
	Insert text
61	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	For average DSCR, please clarify whether DSCR is at loan underwriting or the reported DSCR.
	Description
	Insert text
62	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	Please describe how LGD is measured, and indicate whether the average is weighted by loan or by exposure.
	Description
	Insert text
63	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	Please describe how default is measured.



	Description						
	Insert text						
64	Location of risk: Please elaborate on any risk-sharing arrangements in place.						
	Insert text						
65	 Business model: Please describe your business model, sharing insight on the following: Underwriting practices Loan objectives Risk mitigation measures Other features 						
	Item	Description					
	Underwriting practices	Insert text					
	Loan objectives	Insert text					
	Risk mitigation measures	Insert text					
	Other features	Insert text					
66	Transparency of data inputs: As the IAIS looks to the monitoring period and further refinement of the ICS, it is necessary that any data underlying ICS risk calibrations be readily available for review and analysis.						
	Please indicate whether the data underlying your commercial mortgage loan portfolio would be accessible to the IAIS and whether it can be included in aggregate anonymised results that are publicly disclosed in future IAIS papers, describing the calibration methodologies employed within the ICS.						
	Would the underlying data be accessible to the IAIS?						



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please explain.				
	Explanation				
	Insert text				
67	Can the underlying data be included in aggregate anonymised results that are publicly disclosed in future IAIS papers?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please explain.				
	Explanation				
	Insert text				



9 Self-assessment Template for Internal Models

IAIGs participating in the additional reporting for internal models should complete and submit the self-assessment template, which assesses the degree of compliance with the list of prerequisites.

The scope of application of internal models is limited to the calculation of the ICS capital requirement and not to other areas of the ICS such as capital resources or valuation (eg MAV). Therefore, the development of internal models is meant to introduce only an alternative calculation of the ICS capital requirement, while the valuation of the assets and liabilities of the IAIG would continue to be calculated according to the methods set out by the IAIS. However, the IAIS expects convergence between the valuation basis embedded in the internal model and the valuation basis for the ICS during the monitoring period.

Prerequisite 1: Description of the scope of application of internal models

IM1	Prerequisite 1 – Description of the scope of application of internal models				
	IAIGs must describe the scope of application of their internal model (ie the perimeter of the internal model's calculation).				
	Is Prerequisite 1 met?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If Yes, please describe the	e scope of application of the internal model.			
	Description item	Description rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
IM2	If Prerequisite 1 is met, two possible approaches are considered for the additional reporting of internal model results during the monitoring period. Do you use a full internal model?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If No, please describe which type of partial internal model you use.				



Partial model type	Description				
Partial – One or more risk charges of the ICS standard method capital requirement	Insert text if this partial model type is used				
Partial – One or more sub-risk charges of the ICS standard method capital requirement	Insert text if this partial model type is used				
Partial – One or more risk charges or sub-risk charges not captured by the ICS standard method capital requirement	Insert text if this partial model type is used				
Partial –Only one or more major business units or legal entities	Insert text if this partial model type is used				
Other model structure	Insert text if this partial model type is used				
(Add additional rows as necessary)					
Do you use the partial internal model for calculation of risk charges in any of the below mentior risk categories?					
Provide your response b	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
YES	NO Not Applicable				
If YES, please describe in summary, how the internal model is used to calculate risk charges in below mentioned risk categories.					
Risk category	Description of the usage				
Mortality risk					



Morbidity/Disability risk	
Lapse risk	
Expense risk	
Premium risk	
Claims reserve risk	
Catastrophe risk	
Interest Rate risk	
Non-default Spread risk	
Equity risk	
Real Estate risk	
Currency risk	
Asset Concentration risk	
Credit risk	
Operational risk	

Prerequisite 2: Validation

IM4 Prerequisite 2: Validation

Describe the validation process detailing the process and the scope at different stages, segment of the model, detailing the departments involved and the periodicity of the validation and the tools used (eg benchmarking).

Explain how the validation process ensures that the model remains fit for capital purposes in changing circumstances against the criteria of the statistical quality test, calibration test and use test.

Demonstrate that the model has been independently validated (externally or internally) from those who develop, change, update, run and use the model.

Is Prerequisite 2 met?

Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:



	YES		10		Not Applie	cable		
	If Yes, please describe the validation process of the internal model.							
	Description item De		Descri	Description rationale				
	Insert text		Insert	Insert text				
	(Add additional row	s as ne	cessar	V)				
IM5	If Prerequisite 2 is r	net, plea	ase, pr	ovide deta	ils of the va	lidation process in th	e table below.	
	Item	Is the validat policy formal in the followi areas?		Is the vali done?	dation	What is the periodicity of the validation? (Monthly/ Quarterly/ Biannually/ Annually/ Ad hoc/ Other)	Description of the scope of the validation	
	Development	Yes/N	lo	Internally/	Externally			
	Parameterisation	Yes/N	lo	Internally/	Externally			
	Results	Yes/N	lo	Internally/	Externally			
	Model change	Yes/N	lo	Internally/	Externally			
	Expert judgement	Yes/N	lo	Internally/	Externally			
	Auditing	Yes/N	lo	Internally/	Externally			
	(Add additional row	s as ne	cessar	<i>y</i>)				
IM6	If Prerequisite 2 is r findings, if any.	net, plea	ase pro	ovide in the	e table belo	w information on the	outstanding validation	



Validation finding	Description of the finding
Insert text	Insert text
	-

Prerequisite 3: Sign-off of the Board of Directors of the IAIG

IM7	Prerequisite 3: Sign-off of the Board of Directors of the IAIG					
	This prerequisite aims to ensure that there is ownership of the internal model by the Board of Directors and that the model is compliant with the validation process inscribed in the governance of the internal model. Moreover, ICP 17 recommends a certain level of engagement by the Board of Directors concerning the internal models as part of the use test. Is Prerequisite 3 met?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If NO, please explain whet	her a change is planned, and the expected timeline.				
	Comment name	Comment description				
	Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					

Prerequisite 4: Statistical quality test

 IM8
 Prerequisite 4: Statistical quality test

 Building on ICP 17.4 IAIGs need:
 "... to conduct a 'statistical quality test' which assesses the base quantitative methodology of the internal model, to demonstrate the appropriateness of this methodology, including the choice of



	model inputs and paramet evidence	ers, and to justify the assumptions underlying the model"; and provide
		ion of the regulatory capital requirement using an internal model position of the insurer and that the underlying data used in the model is
	Is Prerequisite 4 met?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe in	summary on how statistical quality tests are used in the internal model.
	Statistical quality test	Description of the test
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
IM9	Do you use expert judgem	ent in the statistical quality tests?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		ary the areas where expert judgement is predominantly used.
	Model area	How the expert judgement is used
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
IM10	Do you use external data i	n the statistical quality tests?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:



	YES	NO Not Applicable
		ary which external data is predominantly used for which areas. Eg Interest isk, claims data for benchmarking, probabilities of default for credit risk,
	Data used	Part of the model where it is applied
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
IM11	Do you use an external/ve	ndor model in the statistical quality tests?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	Please describe in summa predominantly used.	ary the areas /risk categories where an external/ vendor model is
	Risk category	Details of the external/vendor model and how it is integrated into the internal model
	Cat model	Insert text
	Economic Scenario Generator	
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)
IM12	Were there any major mod	del changes in the previous 5 years?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	Please describe in summa	ary the model changes in the previous 5 years.



	Model change	Description of the change and process related to the change
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)
IM13	Do you use statistical meth	nods (deterministic or stochastic) to aggregate risks?
	Provide your response by	/ placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES I	NO Not Applicable
	possible, quantify these eff	are aggregated, the steps where diversification effects are used and, if fects. Please give a justification why they are adequate. The answer indencies are explicitly modelled and whether scenarios are used.
	Aggregation / diversification	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)

Prerequisite 5: Calibration test

IM14	Prerequisite 5: Calibration test
	Building on ICP 17.15 the IAIG should " conduct a 'calibration test' to demonstrate that the regulatory capital requirement determined by the internal model satisfies the specified modelling criteria".
	Does the existing model have a specific calibration test?



[
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe in	summary, but with sufficient detail how you calculate the calibration test.	
	Calibration test	Description of the test	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
IM15	Can the existing model be	calibrated at a 99.5% VaR over a one-year time horizon?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If NO, please describe in s over a one-year time horiz	summary the differences between your internal model and a 99.5% VaR	
	Comment	Description	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
IM16		ecessary) risk, comprise a blend of different risk components. Are such risks	
IM16	Some risks, such as basis captured in your model?		
IM16	Some risks, such as basis captured in your model? Provide your response b	risk, comprise a blend of different risk components. Are such risks	
IM16	Some risks, such as basis captured in your model? Provide your response b	risk, comprise a blend of different risk components. Are such risks y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



Comment	Description	
Insert text	Insert text	

Prerequisite 6: Use test and governance

IM17	Prerequisite 6: Use test and governance		
	Building on ICP 17.16, IAIGs need:		
	" to fully embed the internal model, its methodologies and results, into the insurer's risk strategy and operational processes (the 'use test')";		
	their "Board and Senior management to have overall control of and responsibility for the construction and use of the internal model for risk management purposes, and ensure sufficient understanding of the model's construction at appropriate levels within the insurer's organisational structure". In particular, they would need to provide evidence that the insurer's Board and Senior management understand the consequences of the internal model's outputs and limitations for risk and capital management decisions; and		
	" to have adequate governance and internal controls in place with respect to the internal model"		
	Is Prerequisite 6 met?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in summary, how the use test is met.		
	Use test Description		
	Business planning	Insert text	
	Mergers and Acquisitions		



	Reinsurance	
	Investment	
	Underwriting	
	Risk Management	
	Capital Management (assessment and allocation)	
	Performance Management	
	(Add additional rows as nec	essary)
IM18	Please describe the model of	governance and internal controls at different stages of the model.
	Model stage	Description
	Model development	Insert text
	Model deployment	
	Model implementation	
	Model usage	
	Model validation	
	Model changes	
	Data quality	
	Use test checking	
	Roles and relationships of different governance	
	(Add additional rows as nec	essary)



	Prerequisite 7: Docum	entation standards	
IM19	Prerequisite 7: Documentat	tion standards	
	Building on ICP 17.17 the IAIG should " document the design, construction and governance of the internal model, including an outline of the rationale and assumptions underlying its methodology". The documentation should be sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the regulatory validation requirements for internal models, including the statistical quality test, calibration test and use test. Is Prerequisite 7 met?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	IO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe in s	summary, how Prerequisite 7 is met.	
	Documentation type	Description	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as neo	cessary)	
IM20	Please describe the model	documentation process at different stages of the model.	
	Model stage	Description	
	Model development	Insert text	
	Model deployment		
	Model implementation		
	Model usage		
	Model validation		



Model changes	
Data quality	
Use test checking	
Roles and relationships of units responsible for documentation	

Prerequisite 8: Absence of cherry-picking (for partial models)

IM21	Prerequisite 8: Absence o	f cherry-picking
	appropriate as they can be	Guidance, "The IAIS supports the use of internal models where e a more realistic, risk-responsive method of calculating capital ages any 'cherry-picking' practices by insurers".
	Is Prerequisite 8 met?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe in	summary, how Prerequisite 8 is met.
	Comment	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)



Prerequisite 9: The resulting ICS capital requirement more appropriately reflects
the risk profile of the insurer (for partial models)

IM22	Prerequisite 9: The resulting the insurer	ng ICS capital requirement more appropriately reflects the risk profile of
	and why it considers that u requirements is more cons	5, "an insurer should be required to justify the limited scope of the model using partial internal modelling for determining regulatory capital sistent with the risk profile of the business than the standardised approach wes regulatory capital requirements".
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		summary how Prerequisite 9 is met, describing the scope of the model nces against the ICS standard formula.
	Comment	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)

Prerequisite 10: Explain how the partial internal model and standard method's results can be integrated (for partial models)

IM23 Prerequisite 10: Explain how the partial internal model and standard method's results can be integrated It is essential that the integration of the partial internal model and the standard method results is being carried out prudently and consistently to derive the overall ICS capital requirement. To this end, the IAIG should provide evidence that the partial internal model and standard method results can be integrated. This prerequisite is particularly relevant for IAIGs whose internal model construction does not follow a similar design to the standard method (eg risks have not been

defined or split along similar lines to the standard method, the target criteria are different, etc.).

Is Prerequisite 10 met?



YES	NO Not Applicable
f YES, please prese within the standard n	ent the results and explain how the internal model results can be integrate method.
Comment	Description
Common	
Insert text	Insert text