

2023 Questionnaire for the April 2023 Aggregation Method (AM) Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project

("the AM Questionnaire")

This document must be read in conjunction with the associated 2023 Aggregation Method Data Collection Technical Specifications and Template documentation to provide an accurate and upto-date understanding of the data collection.



1 Introduction

This Questionnaire focuses on supplementary information in relation to data provided in the Template for the 2023 AM Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project, and is due 31 August 2023.



2 Identification

1	Please provide the name of your Volunteer Group below:		
	Volunteer Group r Insert text	name	
2		date of submission of this Questionnaire (dd/mm/yyyy). If an earlier uestionnaire has been updated please indicate a new date here:	
	Date of this subministry Insert text	ission	
3	Please indicate the name of the contact persons for queries about the responses to this Questionnaire, including email address and telephone number.		
	Primary Contact	Information	
	Name:	Insert text	
	Email:	Insert text	
	Phone:	Insert text	
	Backup Contact	Information	
	Name:	Insert text	
	Email:	Insert text	
	Phone:	Insert text	



4

5

6

3	Aggregation Metho	od Data Collection Questionnaire		
	Were there any practical issues or difficulties encountered in applying the AM approach?			
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please summaris			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as			
	Were any material ass approach?	sumptions or simplifications used when providing data on the AM		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	If YES, please describe t	those underlying assumptions and/or simplifications.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Item name Insert text	Description and rationale Insert text		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a	Description and rationale Insert text necessary)		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as Were there any different from that of the 2023 ICS	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as Were there any different from that of the 2023 ICS	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection?		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as Were there any different from that of the 2023 ICS Provide your response	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a difference) Were there any difference from that of the 2023 ICS Provide your response YES	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a difference of the second	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a difference) Were there any difference from that of the 2023 ICS Provide your response YES If YES, please provide difference.	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable etails of which entities were excluded from AM and the rationale for the		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a difference of the constraint of the constrain	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable etails of which entities were excluded from AM and the rationale for the Description and rationale		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a difference of the constraint of the constrain	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable etails of which entities were excluded from AM and the rationale for the Description and rationale Insert text		
	Item name Insert text (Add additional rows as a difference) Were there any difference from that of the 2023 ICS Provide your response YES If YES, please provide difference. Item name Insert text	Description and rationale Insert text necessary) ces in the scope of group on which the AM data collection was based S data collection? by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable etails of which entities were excluded from AM and the rationale for the Description and rationale Insert text		



7	For mutual volunteer groups, do you have non-paid up capital resources that qualify as ICS capital resources?			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe impact on the available capital if these instruments were not recognised.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)		
8	Are there any legal entities in the group that are subject to capital regimes that cannot be mapped to the provided <i>Entity Categories</i> and so were mapped to one of the blank categories provided (eg Regime A)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe the other capital regime(s) that were entered including the less standard and intervention level.				
			e entered including the local capital	
	Entity Category in Template	Name of Capital Regime	Notes on Available / Required Capital	
	Regime A	Insert text	Insert text	
	Regime B			
	Regime C			
	Regime D			
	Regime E			
(Add additional rows as necessary)				



9	The internal model scalar currently uses the same scalars as the 99.5% Value at Risk (VaR) scalar. Are there any differences between the level the AM is scaled to under the 99.5% VaR option and your assessment of the risk at this level of policyholder protection for your internal model? If so, please provide any relevant data or evidence.		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
10	10 Do you have further comments regarding the data collected to support the development of Aggregation Method?		ed to support the development of the
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the AM analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
11	Sensitivity analysis will be performed on the ICS and AM results to determine whether they respond similarly to changing economic and financial market conditions over the business cycle. The scenarios used for sensitivity analysis are referenced in <u>criterion 1.4-1.6</u> . To inform this analysis, please provide the following information for each scenario:		
	Scenario	Question	Response
	1. 2020 Pandemic (S100)	Explain the drivers of scenario results	Insert text
		Explain how scenario results change over time	Insert text



	Report management and other actions taken to mitigate risk	Insert text
2. 2022 Interest rate and inflation spike (S101)	Explain the drivers of scenario results	Insert text
	Explain how scenario results change over time	Insert text
	Report management and other actions taken to mitigate risk	Insert text
3.2007-09GlobalFinancialMarketCrisis(LifeOnly)(S102)	Explain the drivers of scenario results	Insert text
	Explain how scenario results change over time	Insert text
	Report management and other actions taken to mitigate risk	Insert text
4. Catastrophic event (Non-life Only) (S103)	Explain the drivers of scenario results	Insert text
	Explain how scenario results change over time	Insert text
	Report management and other actions taken to mitigate risk	Insert text
5. Event causing AM resources to be less than AM requirements, and corresponding impact to ICS (S104)	•	Insert text
	Explain the corresponding impact on the ICS	Insert text
	Explain the drivers of scenario results	Insert text
	Explain how scenario results change over time	Insert text
	Report management and other	Insert text

Public



6. Event causing	Provide a description and nature	Insert text
ICS resources to be	of the scenario used (identifying	
less than ICS	the specific stresses)	
requirements, and		
•		
corresponding		
impact to AM (S105)		
	Explain the corresponding	Insert text
	impact on the AM	
	Explain the drivers of scenario	Insert text
	results	
	Explain how scenario results	Insert text
	change over time	
	Report management and other	Insert text
	actions taken to mitigate risk	
(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
-		
sensitivity analysis. Ple collected that may imp	ease provide details of any signi	ed from Volunteer Groups to perform ficant changes since the data was (refer to Annex 2 in the 2023 AM 0 to the candidate ICS as a PCR):
Item name	Description and rationale	
Any material	Insert text	
changes to the		
scope of group		
Adjustments to AM	Insert text	
or ICS results		
Any material	Insert text	
changes to the legal		
entity regulatory		
regimes		
<u> </u>		
(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
	neeccan y/	



ſ	13	There will be an assessment on whether the AM triggers supervisory action on group capital
		adequacy grounds under similar conditions over the business cycle as the ICS showing that
		the level of solvency protection of the two methods is similar in totality (or where not, the AM
		could be more but not less prudent than the ICS). This assessment will be largely based on
		analysis of criteria for HLPs 1 and 2 and also consider movements in capital resources and
		capital requirements. To support this analysis, please provide a qualitative description of the
		differences in results between the AM and ICS and their respective triggers for supervisory
		intervention over the business cycle taking account of the design elements of the two
		approaches. In your response to each scenario, include a description of the length of the
		business cycle and how it differs from the time horizon used in the scenario.
		business cycle and now it differs norm the time fiorizon used in the scenario.

Scenario	Qualitative description of the differences between the AM and results and triggers for supervisory intervention over the busin cycle including a description of the length of the business cycle how it differs from the time horizon used in the scenario.
1. 2020 Pandemic	Insert text
2. 2022 Interest rate and inflation spike	Insert text
3. 2007-09 Global Financial Market Crisis (for life Volunteer Groups)	Insert text
4. Catastrophic event (for non-life Volunteer Groups)	Insert text
5. Event causing AM resources to be less than AM requirements, and corresponding impact to ICS	Insert text
6. Event causing ICS resources to be less than ICS requirements, and corresponding impact to AM	Insert text



14	Do you have further assessment?	comments regarding the scenarios for use in the comparability	
	nis includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or ay consider relevant to the comparability assessment.		
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
15	15 Do you have further comments regarding the data, other than scenarios, for use in comparability assessment?		
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you may consider relevant to the comparability assessment.		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		